

DEVELOPMENT OF DEFLECTOR FLAT SPRAY NOZZLE WITH MIXED OF  
WATER AND ORGANIC CITRIC ACID FOR EMISSIONS TRAP AND  
COOLING IN THE KITCHEN HOOD VENTILATION SYSTEM

MOHAMAD FARID BIN SIES

A thesis submitted in  
Fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the  
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering

University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

OCTOBER 2018

**SPECIAL GRATITUDE TO;*****THE MOST BELOVED PARENTS***

*Hj Sies bin Hj Tukimon and Hajah Jawiah binti Hj Suleiman*

*For their support in the whole of my life*

***MY HONOURED SUPERVISOR***

*Assoc. Prof. Dr Norzelawati Binti Asmuin*

*For her advices, support and patience during the completion of this thesis*

***MY CO-SUPERVISOR***

*Dr Azmahani Binti Sadikin*

*For her advices, support and patience during the completion of this thesis*

***SPECIAL THANK***

*To my beloved family parents, wife, Noor Diyana Binti Hj Salleh and my also wonderful kids, Muhammad Darwisy Fitri, Muhammad Irsyad Amir, and Muhammad Yazeed Rizqullah, for their endless love, support and tolerance.*

*Also to all my friends for their moral support, cooperation, and assistance in this study*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful. First praise to Allah; we praise Him, seek His help, and ask for forgiveness. I may not forget the ideal man of the world and most respectable personal for whom Allah creates the whole universe, Prophet Muhammad s.a.w.

I would like to acknowledge those who helped me to complete this thesis especially to my supervisor Assoc. Professor Dr Norzelawati Binti Asmuin and my co-supervisor, Dr Azmahani Binti Sadikin for their support, guidance, ideas and motivation.

I would also like to thank my team members Mr Nor Adrian Bin Nor Salim, Mr. Muhammad Faqhrurrazi Bin Abd Rahman and Mr. Ahmad Syakir Bin Mohamad Jamil for their support, guidance and help from the initial stage to final stage of this study. Special thanks to the University Technology Malaysia (UTM) staff, Assoc. Professor Dr Mohd Zarhamdy Bin Md. Zin and Mr. Darul Hilmi Bin Darsani for their technical help and assistance on how to use the High-speed Camera for this study. I am grateful to University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) for supported this research that has been conducted from the submission of the research grant (RACE 1440).

I also indebted to my family for their constant encouragement, especially my parents, Hj Sies Bin Tukimon and Hajah Jawiah Binti Suleiman for their love and emotional support. Next, my deepest love, and appreciation to my dearest wife, Noor Diyana Binti Hj Salleh and my wonderful kids, Muhammad Darwisy Fitri, Muhammad Irsyad Amir, and Muhammad Yazeed Rizqullah. May Allah blesses all of you.

## ABSTRACT

The growth of food industry is rapidly-evolving due to the increase of human population, which results in changes to the cooking technology development. This condition leads to increased pollution rate, especially air and water pollution. Recently, several technologies and research are developed to improve the commercial kitchen industry, especially in hotels and restaurants. The function of kitchen hood is to remove gas, odour, heat and steam during cooking process. The main purpose of this study is to introduce mist spray (atomization) to replace the water spray system in the existing kitchen hood. In addition, this study compared the existing (conventional) nozzles in the market such as KSJB model (water spray) and AL75 model (mist spray). Then, this study compared two nozzle designs of deflector nozzles that is ND2.5 A1.0 and ND2.5 B1.0. The difference between these two nozzles is the swirl angle. The swirl angles for ND2.5 A1.0 and ND2.5 B1.0 are  $10^{\circ}$  and  $15^{\circ}$  respectively. The use of the new nozzles is to reduce water consumption in the kitchen hood ventilation system. The other function is to introduce organic citric acid as an agent to absorb gas emitted during cooking, besides looking at the effect of nozzle design in limiting gas emission and reducing kitchen hood temperature during cooking. Several methods were used in this study, such as the development of a small size kitchen hood with one nozzle using water sensitive paper (WSP) to spray droplets with fluid pressure from one to six bar, using a gas analyzer for gas reading and thermocouple for temperature reading in the kitchen hood system. The results obtained from the comparison between KSJB model and AL75 model showed that the mist spray nozzle (AL75) is better than the water spray (KSJB) model. On average, the difference in gas emission percentage between AL75 and KSJB is 15.08 – 35.82 % while the difference in temperature is 2.98 – 11.35 %. Then, the comparison between the new nozzles shows that ND2.5 A1.0 is better than ND 2.5B1.0. On average, the difference in gas emission percentage between ND2.5 A1.0 and ND 2.5B1.0 is 2.65 – 24.32 % while the difference in temperature is 6.48 –

14.86 %. Lastly, comparison between AL75 and the new model which is ND2.5 A1.0 shows that ND2.5 A1.0 has better performance than AL75. On average, the difference in gas emission percentage between these two models is 13.12 – 33 % while the difference in temperature is 11.84 – 20.22 %. However, the AL75 nozzle can reduce water consumption by 60 – 80 % as compared to KSJB, depending on air pressure. The results show that the atomization nozzles (AL75, ND2.5 A1.0 and ND2.5 B1.0) have better effect than the water spray (KSJB) nozzle. The factors that improves kitchen hood performance are high spray angle, large droplet size and the usage of organic citric acid. In addition, mist spray can reduce water consumption and water pollution. In fact, the use of organic citric acid can reduce air pollution in the cooking process. For future studies, it is suggested to use an actual kitchen hood size for testing and the quantity for each nozzle type is increased in the kitchen hood system.



## ABSTRAK

Perkembangan industri makanan mengalami transformasi dengan pesat berpunca daripada pertambahan populasi manusia, lalu membawa kepada perubahan dalam teknologi masakan. Keadaan ini menyebabkan peningkatan kadar pencemaran terutamanya pencemaran udara dan air. Baru-baru ini, terdapat pelbagai teknologi dan kajian dibangunkan untuk menambah baik industri dapur masakan komersial terutamanya di hotel dan restoran. Fungsi hud dapur adalah untuk menyingkirkan gas, bau, haba dan wap semasa proses memasak. Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk memperkenalkan semburan kabus (pengabusan) bagi menggantikan system semburan air yang terdapat di dalam hud dapur yang sedia ada. Selain itu, kajian ini membandingkan muncung sedia ada (konvensional) yang terdapat dalam pasaran seperti model KSJB (semburan air) dengan model AL75 (semburan kabus). Kemudian, muncung dengan reka bentuk yang baharu (semburan kabus) iaitu model ND2.5 A1.0 dibandingkan dengan model ND2.5 B1.0. Kedua-dua muncung memiliki sudut pusaran yang berlainan. Sudut pusaran ND2.5 A1.0 dan ND2.5 B1.0 masing-masing adalah sebanyak  $10^{\circ}$  dan  $15^{\circ}$ . Penggunaan muncung yang baharu ini bertujuan untuk mengurangkan penggunaan air di dalam sistem pengalihudaraan hud dapur. Tujuan lain adalah untuk memperkenalkan bahan organik asid sitrik sebagai agen yang menyerap gas yang dibebaskan semasa memasak, selain meneliti kesan reka bentuk muncung dalam mengehadkan pelepasan gas dan mengurangkan suhu hud dapur semasa memasak. Terdapat beberapa kaedah yang digunakan dalam kajian ini, seperti mencipta sebuah hud dapur bersaiz kecil dengan satu muncung, dengan menggunakan water sensitive paper (WSP) bagi menyembur titisan kabus dengan tekanan bendalir sebanyak satu hingga enam bar. Tekanan di dalam sistem hud dapur ditentukan dengan menggunakan penganalisis gas bagi mendapatkan bacaan gas dan bacaan suhu diperolehi melalui pengganding suhu. Keputusan yang diperolehi daripada perbandingan antara model KSJB dengan model AL75 menunjukkan muncung semburan kabus (AL75) lebih baik daripada muncung semburan air (KSJB). Secara purata, perbezaan peratus pelepasan gas antara AL75 dan KSJB

adalah sebanyak 15.08 – 35.82 % manakala perbezaan suhu adalah sebanyak 2.98 – 11.35 %. Kemudian, perbandingan antara muncung baharu menunjukkan prestasi ND2.5 A1.0 adalah lebih baik berbanding dengan ND 2.5B1.0. Secara purata, perbezaan peratus pelepasan gas antara ND2.5 A1.0 dan ND 2.5B1.0 adalah sebanyak 2.65 – 24.32 % manakala perbezaan suhu adalah sebanyak 6.48 – 14.86%. Akhir sekali, perbandingan antara AL75 dan model baharu iaitu ND2.5 A1.0 menunjukkan ND2.5 A1.0 mempunyai prestasi yang lebih baik daripada AL75. Secara purata, perbezaan peratus pelepasan gas antara kedua-dua model ini adalah sebanyak 13.12 – 33 % manakala perbezaan suhu adalah sebanyak 11.84 – 20.22 %. Walau bagaimanapun, muncung AL75 dapat mengurangkan penggunaan air sebanyak 60 – 80 % berbanding dengan muncung KSJB, bergantung pada tekanan udara. Keputusan menunjukkan muncung semburan kabus (AL75, ND2.5 A1.0 dan ND2.5 B1.0) memberi kesan yang lebih baik berbanding dengan muncung semburan air (KSJB). Faktor-faktor yang meningkatkan prestasi hud dapur ialah sudut semburan yang besar, saiz titisan semburan yang besar dan penggunaan bahan organik asid sitrik. Selain itu, semburan kabus juga dapat mengurangkan penggunaan air dan pencemaran air. Malah, penggunaan bahan organik asid sitrik dapat mengurangkan pencemaran udara yang terhasil semasa proses memasak. Cadangan bagi kajian akan datang adalah dengan menggunakan saiz hud dapur yang sebenar untuk membuat penilaian dan menambah bilangan setiap jenis muncung di dalam sistem hud dapur.



## CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE.	i
	DECLARATION.	ii
	DEDICATION.	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.	iv
	ABSTRACT.	v
	ABSTRAK.	vii
	CONTENTS.	ix
	LIST OF FIGURES.	xv
	LIST OF TABLES.	xxii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS.	xxv
	LIST OF APPENDICES.	xxix
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xxxvii
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statements	6
1.3	Objectives	7
1.4	Scopes	7
1.5	Significant of study	8
1.6	Outline of the thesis	9
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Water pollution in Malaysia	10
2.3	Water consumption in the kitchen	10
2.4	Emission from cooking process.	11
2.4.1	Kitchen hood system	13



2.4.2	Types of kitchen hood	16
2.4.3	Kitchen hood cleaning system	16
2.4.4	Water spray as an emissions filter and cooling	18
2.5	Theoretical background of spray nozzles in KHV-Halton	21
2.6	Atomization characteristic	21
2.6.1	Atomization process	24
2.6.2	Type of Atomizers	25
2.6.2.1	Pressure atomizers or single-fluid nozzle	25
2.6.2.2	Air-assist atomizers or two-fluid nozzles	25
2.6.2.3	Air-assisted atomizer with internal mixing chamber	30
2.6.2.4	Pressure swirl atomizers	30
2.6.2.5	Others atomizers	32
2.7	Fundamentals of atomization	33
2.7.1	Spray quality and droplet size	33
2.7.2	Mean droplet diameters	35
2.7.3	Influence of droplet size distribution for air-assist atomizer	36
2.7.3.1	Pressure	36
2.7.3.2	Swirl number (S)	36
2.7.3.3	Liquid properties	38
2.7.3.4	Specific gravity	38
2.7.3.5	Spray angle and spray penetration	38
2.7.3.6	Flow rate	39
2.7.3.7	Weber number (We)	40
2.7.3.8	Flow number (FN)	40
2.7.3.9	Discharge coefficient (Cd)	41
2.8	Sampling technique - Droplet size and velocity measurement (Image analysis)	43
2.8.1	Droplet size using Water Sensitive Paper (WSP)	43
2.8.2	Sauter Mean Diameter (SMD) correlation	44
2.8.3	Droplet velocity	45
2.9	Basic concept design of new deflector	45
2.10	Non-volatile compound organic	47

2.11	Lime ( <i>Citrus aurantifolia</i> )	47
2.11.1	Ionisation of Citric Acid with water/ Citric Acid dissolve in water	49
2.11.2	Lime Juice concentration	49
2.11.3	Citric Acid application	50
2.12	Summary of chapter	51
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>52</b>
3.1	Overview	52
3.2	Existing nozzle (KSJB-nozzle) applied in the Kitchen Ventilation Hood (KHV) system model KVE-WW/WM	53
3.3	Identifying the low pressure deflector with flat spray nozzle / “flood” nozzle	56
3.3.1	Description of the New deflector flat spray nozzles concept	58
3.3.2	The results of mean drop size for deflector flat spray nozzles (AL 75, ND 2.5 A1.0 and ND 2.5 B1.0) using design procedure by J.S.Chin	62
3.4	Experiment procedure	69
3.4.1	Determine liquid properties	71
3.4.1.1	Determine pH value of lime juice dissolve with water	71
3.4.1.2	Determine viscosity of lime juice or organic citric acid dissolve with Water	72
3.4.1.3	Density determination of a Lime Juice dissolve with water using Pycnometers	73
3.4.1.4	Determine of molar mass in liquid properties	75
3.4.1.5	Determination of the surface tension of a liquid using the drop-weight method	76
3.4.1.6	Determine Lime Juice concentration dissolve with water	77
3.4.1.7	Determine water content in Lime Juice (100%), L10W70 and L30W70	78

3.4.2	Free spray test rig set-up	79
3.4.3	Visual and image system measurement	81
3.4.3.1	Spray angle measurement technique	81
3.4.3.2	Spray velocity measurement (high-speed imaging) techniques	84
3.4.3.3	Droplet size measurement	93
3.4.4	Development pilot study of Kitchen Hood System for emission and cooling data	102
3.5	Summary of chapter	106
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>107</b>
4.1	Introduction	107
4.2	Liquid properties	107
4.3	Lime juice concentration dissolve with water	110
4.4	Water content in Lime Juice	110
4.5	Conversional deflector nozzles (KSJB and AL75) evaluation	111
4.5.1	Spray performances (spray development, spray angle and droplet velocity) for declector flat spray nozzles KSJB and AL75	111
4.5.2	Spray development for deflector flat spray nozzle (KSJB)	112
4.5.3	Spray development for Deflector Flat Spray Nozzle (AL75)	115
4.5.4	Spray angle and Spray Droplet velocity for conversional deflector nozzle (KSJB and AL-75)	117
4.5.5	Emissions and cooling performances for conversional deflector nozzles KSJB and AL75	118
4.5.6	Average emissions value (CO, CO <sub>2</sub> and SO <sub>2</sub> ) and cooling performance (T <sub>3</sub> and T <sub>4</sub> ) using conversional deflector nozzles KSJB and AL75	124
4.5.7	Selection of conversional nozzle consideration	128
4.6	New deflector nozzles (ND-2.5-A1.0, and ND-2.5-B1.0) evaluation	132
4.6.1	Spray performances (spray development, spray angle	

	and droplet velocity) for new deflector flat spray nozzles ND 2.5 A1.0 and ND 2.5 B1.0	132
4.6.2	Spray development for new deflector flat spray nozzles ND 2.5 A1.0 and ND 2.5 B1.0	132
4.6.3	Spray angle and spray droplet velocity for new deflector nozzles ND 2.5 A1.0 and ND 2.5 B1.0	137
4.6.4	Emissions and cooling performances for new deflector nozzles ND 2.5 A1.0 and ND 2.5 B1.0	142
4.6.5	Average emission value (CO, CO <sub>2</sub> and SO <sub>2</sub> ) and cooling performance (T <sub>3</sub> and T <sub>4</sub> ) using new deflector nozzles ND 2.5 A1.0 and ND 2.5 B1.0	142
4.6.6	Selection of new deflector nozzle	145
4.7	Conversional deflector nozzle (AL75) and New deflector nozzles (ND-2.5-A1.0) evaluation	149
4.7.1	Spray angle and average droplets velocity for deflector flat nozzle spray nozzles AL75 and ND 2.5 A1.0	149
4.7.2	Average emissions value (CO, CO <sub>2</sub> and SO <sub>2</sub> ) and cooling performance (T <sub>3</sub> and T <sub>4</sub> ) using deflector nozzles AL75 and ND 2.5 A1.0	153
4.7.3	Selection of the best performance for deflector flat spray nozzle	153
4.7.4	Droplet size for deflector flat spray nozzles	160
4.8	Water consumption for each deflector flat spray nozzle.	165
4.9	Summary of chapter	166
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK</b>	<b>169</b>
5.1	Conclusion	169
5.2	Potential contribution to knowledge	171
5.3	Recommendation for the future works	172
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>173</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>185</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Historical population and urban water use in California from 1972 to 2005 (Christian-smith et al., 2012).	2
1.2	End uses of water in restaurant Created by analysing data from: New Mexico Office of the State Engineer, American Water Works Association (AWWA, 2012)	3
1.3	Figure 1.3: Energy use in the restaurant (Source: <a href="http://www.sustainablefoodservice.com/cat/energy-use-graphs.html">http://www.sustainablefoodservice.com/cat/energy-use-graphs.html</a> )	
1.4	Grease in Particle and Vapor Phases Emitted by Selected Commercial Cooking Appliances and Food Products (ASHRAE, 2007)	5
2.1	River water quality trend for 2005-2012, Department of Environment Malaysia(DOE -2012), (Huang Y.K., 2015)	11
2.2	Cooking Emissions as a function of cooking process (Livchak, Schrock, Lehtimaki, & Taipale, 2003).	14
2.3	(a) Global Carbon Oxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) emissions, Source: The New York Times (2017) (b) Sources of Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions	14
2.4	Kitchen hood system (Hotelier, 2010)(Ziane, 2007).	15
2.5	Temperature and productivity in a kitchen (Livchak et al., 2005)	15
2.6	Water wash hood (TYPE I) (Ziane, 2007).	17
2.6	Back shelf hood (TYPE II) (Ziane, 2007).	17
2.7	Construction of (a) Baffles filters (Extraction, 2011) (b) Cyclonic filters (Ziane, 2007).	19
2.8	The categories of grease particles (Franta & Co, 2009).	19
2.9	Dust particles captured using water droplet (Robert Franta, 2009).	20
2.10	(a) Flat-fan nozzle (b) Deflector flat nozzle	

(Thompson & Rothstein, 2007).	22
2.11 Description of spray formation process (Ren, 2010).	23
2.12 A schematic of a liquid spray (Jiang, Siamas, Jagus, & Karayiannis, 2010).	23
2.13 Schematic of the stages in the spray development with increase in injection pressure by Lefebvre (1989) (Chinn, 1996).	24
2.14 Atomization process (Maly, 2014).	24
2.15 Air-assist Atomizer (PNR UK LTD, 2014.).	29
2.16 Properties of spray and examples of their uses.	34
2.17 Range of droplet diameter (Jones & Nolan, 1995; Lefebvre, 1989).	34
2.18 (a) Redrawn schematic of jet-swirl injector (Z. A. Ghaffar, S. Kasolang, A. H. A. Hamid, C. S. Ow, 2015) (b) The geometry of a solid cone spray nozzle (Halder et al., 2004).	37
2.19 a) Spray Penetration b) Spray angle (IKEUCHI, 2014.).	39
2.20 Droplet break-up mechanisms (Pilch & Erdman, 1987).	41
2.21 Internal mixing chamber atomizer study (Kufferath, 1999).	46
2.22 Air pressure drop ( $\Delta P_A$ ) vs a function liquid of flow Reynolds number (Kufferath, 1999)	46
2.23 Cross section lime fruit (a) Mexican lime and (b) Persian Lime (Mónica, Díaz, & Sánchez, 2010).	48
2.24 Citric Acid anhydrous ( $C_6H_8O_7$ ).	48
2.25 Citric Acid monohydrate ( $C_6H_8O_7 \cdot H_2O$ ).	48
3.1 Research methodology flow diagram	54
3.2 Flat spray Nozzle model: MAXX 1/8 B KSJB.50	55
3.3 Isometric View, Sectional view and dimensions of the Flat spray Nozzle model MAXX1/8 B KSJB.50	55
3.4 Nozzle model: Delavan AL 75	56
3.5 (a) Cross-section and (b) assembly drawing of nozzle model: Delavan AL 75	57
3.6 Principle of Nozzle AL75 operation	57
3.7 Exploded isometric view of Nozzle ND 2.5.	59
3.8 Deflector flat spray nozzles with different sizes (a) AL75 (b) ND-2.5 with swirl A1.0 & B1.0	59
3.9 Principle of Nozzle ND2.5 operation.	60

3.10	Side view of Deflector Nozzle (a) AL75 (b) ND-2.5	62
3.11	Flow Number (FN) and Discharge Coefficient (CD) for atomizer AL75, ND2.5-A1.0 and ND2.5-B1.0	67
3.12	Weber Number (We) and Liquid flow rate (L/min) for atomizer AL75, ND2.5-A1.0 and ND2.5-B1	68
3.13	Flow Regimes of air-water mixtures in horizontal flow. Effect of mixing chamber on flow pattern. (Baker, 1954) (J.S.Chin and H.Lefebvre, 1993)	69
3.14	Spray performance test procedure flow process	70
3.15	Artificial Flavor Lime Juice ( <a href="http://www.lenghengfoods.com/products-17.html">http://www.lenghengfoods.com/products-17.html</a> )	71
3.16	pH apparatus (HI 9811 pH/ EC/ TDS)	72
3.17	Viscosity testing apparatus	73
3.18	Pycnometer testing apparatus with height (h) 75 mm and diameter (d) 40mm	74
3.19	Coulometric Karl Fischer Titration	79
3.20	A schematic diagram of spray test rig	80
3.21	Photograph of the spray test rig	81
3.22	Image J window (Reinking, 2007)	82
3.23	(a) Spray image for Nozzle AL-75 with water Pressure 3 bar and air pressure 36 bar (AL75-W36) (b) Spray Image after binary process	83
3.24	Draw two intersecting line for measure spray angle	83
3.25	The Image J result for Spray angle (Nozzle AL75-W36)	84
3.26	High-speed Imaging experiment setup	85
3.27	Schematic diagram of High-speed camera experiment setup	85
3.28	Constant spray image for New Design nozzle with water pressure three bar and air pressure three bar (ND2.5 A1.0-33-W) in PCC software	88
3.29	Save the video in Quick Time,* format	88
3.30	Tracker Software with four important tools	89
3.31	Clip setting and Calibration stick	89
3.32	Tracking of a droplet at position A from (a) Frame 0 (initial) to 14 (b) Frame 9 to 23 (c) Frame 21 to 35, (d) Frame 31 to 42(final) for ND2.5 A1.0-33-W	90



3.33	(a) The result of a droplet tracking (b) Copy selected Cells in full Precision to excel format for ND2.5 A1.0-33-W	91
3.34	WSP experiment setup	95
3.35	(a) WSP (number 1-5) at left position (b) WSP (number 6-10) at right position from center	96
3.36	The location of WSP samples (a) Front View (b) Side View (Right Position)	97
3.37	Water sensitive paper (a) before exposure to the spray (b) after exposure the spray for nozzle AL75-W3-A3	97
3.38	Droplets Image for nozzle AL75-W3-A3 after scanning process at left position (WSP no. 1 to 5)	98
3.39	The WSP image for nozzle AL75-W3-A3 (Left No.1-5) appear in ImageJ software	98
3.40	Scaling process on WSP number 3 Left position	99
3.41	(a) Step for Threshold Process (b) Black and White image after Threshold Process	99
3.42	Rectangular with size 20 mm x 20 mm was drawn on Black and white image	100
3.43	Result generate from ImageJ software	100
3.44	Zoom image (600%) of counting droplets for nozzle AL75-W3-A3 (Left No.3)	101
3.45	Schematic diagram of a small kitchen Hood ventilation system	103
3.46	(a) Grease Machine connected with LPG (b) Control Panel and Dosing Pump	105
3.47	Experiment setup for Small Kitchen Hood system	105
4.1	(a) pH and molar mass (b) Viscosity and density of water and organic citric acid dissolve with water at $T=28^{\circ}\text{C}$ .	109
4.2	Surface tension for water and organic citric acid dissolved with water at $T = 28^{\circ}\text{C}$	109
4.3	Step in the spray development process of flat spray KSJB nozzle at water pressure one bar using high-speed camera	113
4.4	Water Spray development images by different pressure and times with KSJB nozzle. (a) Operating pressure of one bar (b) Operating pressure of two bar (c) Operating pressure of three bar.	



	“t” = Duration time for full spray development in millisecond (ms).	114
4.5	Photographs of Spray image for Deflector Flat Nozzle AL75 with different Fluid Pressure.	116
4.6	Spray angle for deflector fan KSJB nozzle with the liquid flow rate (LFR)	120
4.7	Spray angle for deflector fan Nozzle AL75 nozzle with the fluid flow rate (AFR = Air Flow Rate, LFR =Liquid flow Rate)	121
4.8	Fluid flow rate for deflector flat spray nozzles KSJB and AL75	122
4.9	Spray angle and droplet velocity for deflector flat spray nozzle KSJB and AL75	123
4.10	Conversional Deflector nozzle (KSJB and AL75) performance for Gas emissions and Cooling	127
4.11	Average rating of emission and temperature for conversional deflector flat spray nozzle (KSJB and AL75)	131
4.12	Photographs of Spray Image for Deflector Flat Nozzle ND2.5-A1.0 with different Fluid Pressure	135
4.13	Photographs of Spray Image for Deflector flat Nozzle ND2.5-B1.0 with different Fluid Pressure	136
4.14	Spray Angle for deflector fan Nozzle ND2.5A1.0 (AFR = Air Flow Rate, LFR =Liquid flow Rate).	138
4.15	Spray Angle for deflector fan Nozzle ND2.5B1.0 (AFR = Air Flow Rate, LFR =Liquid flow Rate)	139
4.16	Fluids flow rate for deflector flat spray nozzles ND 2.5 A1.0 and ND 2.5 B1.0	140
4.17	Spray angle and average droplet velocity for deflector flat spray nozzle ND2.5 A1.0 and ND 2.5 B1.0	141
4.18	Conversional deflector nozzle (ND 2.5 A1.0 and ND 2.5 B1.0) performance for Gas emissions and Cooling	144
4.19	Average rating of emission and temperature for new deflector flat spray nozzles using Weighted Decision Matrix (WDM)	148
4.20	Spray angle and average droplet velocity for deflector flat spray nozzles (AL75 and ND 2.5 A1.0)	151
4.21	Fluids flow rate for deflector flat spray nozzles AL75 and ND 2.5 A1.0	152
4.22	Deflector flat spray nozzles AL75 and ND 2.5 A1.0 in performance	

	for Gas emissions and Cooling	156
4.23	Average rating of emission and temperature for Deflector flat spray nozzles AL75 and ND 2.5 A1.0	159
4.24	Relative of SMD experiment (WSP) and SMD correlation.	163
4.25	Liquid consumption (m <sup>3</sup> /day) for experiments A until U.	167



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Average water consumption via kitchen tap per capita per day (Richter & Stamminger, 2012)	12
2.2	Mean number, surface, volume, and mass concentrations of size- differentiated particles associated with different cooking methods (See & Balasubramanian, 2006)	20
2.3	Type of spray pattern, Sources from: BETE, (2005); LECHLER,(2014); Schick, (2014).	26
2.4	Types of Atomizers (information from lecture note, Professor Andrew Yule)	27
2.5	Previous study in an internal mixing chamber for nozzle	31
2.6	Previous study for swirl	32
2.7	Different Mean diameters and applications (Arthur H. Lefebvre, 1989)	35
2.8	Summarizes the various factors that affect a spray nozzle's performance (Spraying Systems Co., 2006)	42
3.1	Comparison of important parameter of Nozzle (Case B) Kufferath (1999), Nozzle AL75 (Delavan) and ND2.5 A1.0 & B1.0 (New deflector)	58
3.2	Comparison on Atomizers design between previous and present study	60
3.3	Nozzles design input parameter for AL75, ND 2.5-A1.0, and ND 2.5-B1.0	65
3.4	The results of the Discharge Coefficient (Cd), Weber Number (We) and Flow regimes for air and Liquid mixtures for deflector nozzle	66
3.5	Physical Property of Citric Acid (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>8</sub> O <sub>7</sub> )	75
3.6	Physical Property of Water (H <sub>2</sub> O)	75

3.7	An average a droplet velocity by using excel formula for ND2.5-A1.0-33-W	92
3.8	Initial condition for experiments setup	96
3.9	An example result of Sauter Mean Diameter (SMD) for nozzle AL75-W3-A3 (Left No.3) in excel	101
4.1	Result for liquid properties for organic citric acid (lime juice) dissolve with water.	109
4.2	Lime juice concentration using composition by mass (%), Volume percent (%) and citric acid content (g/L).	110
4.3	Percentage of lime juice, water content and other substances for L10, L30 and L100.	111
4.4	Liquid pressure and flow rate for KSJB nozzle	115
4.5	Summary of the result experiment for spray development for Deflector Flat spray nozzle (AL75)	115
4.6	Summary Result spray angle and velocity for Different type of Deflector Flat Spray Nozzle (KSJB and AL75) with three type of liquid	119
4.7	Average value of gas emissions (CO, CO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> ) and cooling performance (T3 and T4) for grease machine (process III) for conversional deflector nozzle (KSJB and AL75)	126
4.8	Weighted Decision Matrix (WDM) for conversional deflector nozzle to evaluate result in gas emissions	129
4.9	Weighted Decision Matrix (WDM) for conversional deflector nozzle to evaluate result in cooling	130
4.10	Summary of the result experiment for spray development of new deflector	134
4.11	Summary result of spray angle and droplet velocity for new deflector flat nozzle ND2.5-A1.0 and ND2.5-B1.0 with three types of liquid (Water, L10 and L30)	137
4.12	Average value of gas emissions (CO, CO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> ) and cooling performance (T3 and T4) for new deflector flat spray nozzle (ND 2.5 A1.0 and ND 2.5 B1.0)	143
4.13	Weighted Decision Matrix (WDM) for new deflector nozzle to evaluate result in gas emissions	146

4.14	Weighted Decision Matrix (WDM) for new deflector nozzle to evaluate result in cooling	147
4.15	Summary Result spray angle and velocity for Different types of Deflector flat Nozzle (AL75, and ND2.5-A1.0 0) with three types of liquid.	150
4.16	Average value of gas emissions (CO, CO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> ) and cooling performance (T3 and T4) for deflector nozzles AL75 and ND 2.5 A1.0 with different liquids	155
4.17	Average rating of gas emissions (CO, CO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> ) for deflector nozzles AL75 and ND 2.5 A1.0 with different liquids	157
4.18	Average rating of kitchen hood temperature (T3) and ducting temperature (T4) for deflector nozzles AL75 and ND 2.5 A1.0 with different liquids	158
4.19	Initial condition for experiments setup.	160
4.20	SMD and percentage error between experiment (WSP), Correlation A and Correlation B	164
4.21	Liquid consumption and comparison of water and lime juice usage for each deflector flat spray nozzle	168



## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

A	-	The spot area ( $\mu\text{m}^2$ )
AEA	-	American Economic Association
	-	Air-Conditioning Engineers
$A_o$	-	area of orifice
ASHRAE	-	American Society for Heating, Refrigerating
and		
$\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_7$	-	Citric Acid
Cd	-	Discharge coefficient value
CKV	-	Commercial kitchen ventilation
CO	-	Carbon monoxide
$\text{CO}_2$	-	Carbon dioxide
cP	-	Centipoise
d	-	Droplet diameter
$d_o$	-	orifice diameter
DSLR	-	Digital Single Lens Reflex Camera
DWR	-	Department of Water Resources
FN	-	Flow Number
G	-	gas mass flow flux in $\text{kg}/\text{cm}^2 \text{ hr}$
GLR	-	Gas / liquid mass ratio
$\text{H}_2\text{O}$	-	water .
KHV	-	Kitchen hood ventilation

L10	-	Lime Juice 10% + water 90%
L30	-	Lime Juice 30% + water 70%
LPG	-	liquid petroleum gas
MET	-	Malaysian Meteorological Department
ND	-	New design
NO <sub>x</sub>	-	Nitrogen oxide
P	-	Poise
Pa.s	-	Pascal seconds
PAI	-	People Action International
PCC	-	Phantom Camera Control
PM	-	Particulate matter
PVB	-	Portable Ventilator Blower
Q <sub>A</sub>	-	Air Flow rate
Q <sub>L</sub>	-	Liquid Flow rate
R1 or R <sub>s</sub>	-	radius of swirl chamber
R2 or R <sub>p</sub>	-	radius of inlet central port,
Re	-	Reynolds number
S	-	Swirl number
SG	-	specific gravity
SMD or $D_{32}$	-	Sauter mean diameter
SO <sub>2</sub>	-	Sulphur dioxide
tan $\gamma$	-	The swirl-generating vane tip angle.
TCA	-	tricarboxylic acid

VO	-	vegetable oil
VOC	-	Volatile organic compound
We	-	Weber number
WSP	-	Water sensitive paper –
(% v/v)	-	Volume percent
( $l_o$ )	-	outlet of port length
(m),	-	molar mass
(pH),	-	potential of hydrogen
(X),	-	mole fraction
$d_o$	-	Nozzle orifice diameter
$u^2$	-	Relative velocity,
$\rho_a$	-	Air density
$\rho_{air}$	-	Air density,
$\rho_f$	-	Liquid density
$\sigma_{liquid}$	-	The liquid surface tension.
$\Delta P$	-	Differential liquid pressure
$\mu m$	-	microns meter
$\lambda$	=	$[\rho'_G \rho'_L]^{1/2}$
$\mu$ ,	-	viscosity
$\mu'_L$	-	Ratio of liquid viscosity to water viscosity at standard conditions ( $\mu_{std(water), T=20^\circ C} = 1.002 \text{ Cp}$ )
$\rho$	-	density
$\rho'_G$	-	Ratio of gas density to air density at standard conditions ( $\rho_{std(air), T=20^\circ C} =$ $1.204 \text{ kg/m}^3$ )
$\sigma$ .	-	surface tension



## REFERENCES

- Amaris, C., Oliveira Santos T.V., Gowreesunker, B.L., Tassou, S. A. (2016). Environmental impacts, energy and emissions reductions from food catering in the UK. *29th EFFOST International Conference Proceedings*. 569-574.
- American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE – 2007). *ASHRAE Handbook—HVAC Applications (SI): Kitchen ventilation*. ASHRAE: Kitchen Ventilation: Chapter 31.
- Ashgriz, N. (2011). *Handbook of atomization and sprays*. New York: Springer.
- Asmuin, N., Sies, M. F., Hassan, M. K., Pairan, M. R., Salim, N. A. N., Zakaria, H. (2014). Simulation of water mist spray for commercial kitchen ventilation (CKV) system using Ansys-CFD. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 704 –708.
- Behm, F. M. (1993). Clinical evaluation of a citric acid inhaler for smoking cessation. *Journal Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. (31): 131–138.
- BETE Fog Nozzle, Inc. BETE: *Spray Dry Manual*. 2005.
- BETE. (2016.). *Full Cone Nozzles - Spiral Design*. Retrieved on Jun 16, 2017, from <http://www.bete.co.uk/spray-nozzles/full-cone-nozzles/spiral-nozzles>
- Biswas, A. K. (2012). Major water problems facing the world. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*. 1–15.
- Broniarz, P, L., Ochowiak, M., Rozanski, J., & Woziwodzki, S. (2009). The atomization of water–oil emulsions. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*. 33(6), 955–962.

Brown, C.C. (2014). *Non-VOC Compounds Definition of Non-VOC Compounds*. Retrieved on October 3, 2014, from (<http://www.deq.louisiana.gov/portal/DIVISIONS/AirPermitsEngineeringandPlanning/EmissionsInventoryHomepage/FAQsAboutEmissionsInventory/NonVOCCompounds.aspx>)

Brown, M.M. (2012). *How can we increase the world's access to clean water?* Retrieved on March 18, 2014, from [www.circleofblue.org/waternews](http://www.circleofblue.org/waternews)

Chaudhari, K., Kulshreshtha, D., & Channiwala, S. (2013) Design and Experimental Investigation of 60 degree Pressure Swirl Nozzle for Penetration Length and Cone Angle At different Pressure. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering & Technology*, (2). 76–84.

Chin, J.S., Lefebvre, A.H. (1993). A Design Procedure for Effervescent Atomizers. *The American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME)*. (93-GT-337).

Chinn, J. J. (1996). *The Internal Flow Physics of Swirl Atomizer Nozzles*. Ph.D Thesis. University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology Thermo-Fluids Division.

Christian-smith, J., Heberger, M., & Allen, L. (2012). *Urban Water Demand in California to 2100 : Incorporating Climate Change*. (P. I. Nancy Ross and Paula Luu, Ed.). California. Retrieved on November 25, 2016, from [http://www.pacinst.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/full\\_report38.pdf](http://www.pacinst.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/full_report38.pdf)

Cohen, R. (2009). *Increasing Water Efficiency in California's Commercial, Industrial*. Retrieved on July 17, 2015, from [www.nrdc.org/policy](http://www.nrdc.org/policy)

Cunha, M., Carvalho, C., Andre R.S. (2013). Assessing the ability of image processing software to analyze spray quality on water- sensitive papers used as artificial targets. *Bio systems engineering*. 8:11-23.

David, S., Upali Amarasinghe D. M. (1998). *World Water Demand and Supply, 1990 to 2025 : Scenarios and Issues*. International Water Management Institute: Colombo, Sri Lanka.

- Dimick, P. S. (1990). Analysis of Non-volatile Organic Acids in Fermented and Dried Cocoa Beans by High Performance Liquid Chromatography, *Pertanika*. 13(1). 107–111.
- Dziegielewska, et.al. (2010). *Saving Water in Restaurants*. Retrieved March 29, 2018, from [www.epa.gov/watersense/commercial](http://www.epa.gov/watersense/commercial)
- Ellis, B., Tuck, C. R. (1997). *The effect of some adjuvants on sprays produced by agricultural flat fan nozzles*. Elsevier- Crop Protection. 16(1): 41–50.
- Fox, R. D., Derksen, R. C., Cooper, J. A., Krause, C. R. (2003). Visual and Image System Measurement of Spray Deposits Using Water-Sensitive Paper. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*. 19(5): 549–552.
- Franta, R. (2009). *Devising an Effective and Affordable Dust Control Strategy Using Water Sprays Dust Control*. Spraying Systems Co.: Spray Nozzle.
- G.G.Nasr, A.J.Yule, & L.Bending. (2002). *Industrial Sprays and Atomization*. London: Springer-Verlag London.
- Gambatese, A. (2001). Dust Suppression using Truck-Mounted Water Spray System. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 127(February): 53–59.
- Gerstler, D.W. (2002). New Rules for Kitchen Exhaust. *ASHRAE Journal*. 26 – 31.
- Ghaffar, Z.A.(2015). Design , development and performance evaluation of new swirl effervescent injector. *Journal Teknologi*. 75:1 (2015) 19–25.
- Ghaly, A. E., Snow, A., Faber, B. E. (2007). Effective Coagulation Technology for Treatment of Grease Filter Wash water. *American Journal of Environmental Sciences*. 2007. 3 (1): 19-29.
- Grant, B. (1999). *California Energy Emissions: Commercial Kitchen Ventilation and Emissions*. The Public Interest Energy Research (PIER).
- Greenheck Fan. Corp. (2011). *Building Value in Air: Kitchen Ventilation Systems*. Greenheck: Brochure.

- Halder, M. R., Dash, S. K., & Som, S. K. (2004). A numerical and experimental investigation on the coefficients of discharge and the spray cone angle of a solid cone swirl nozzle. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*. 28 (2004): 297–305.
- Halton. (2004). *Kitchen Design Guide Care for Indoor*. Halton Foodservice: Catalogue.
- Hart, R. A. (2005). *Numerical Modelling of Tunnel Fires and Water Mist Suppression*. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Nottingham.
- Hockicko, P. (2015). Development of student's conceptual thinking by means of video analysis and interactive simulations at technical universities. *European Journal of engineering Education* 40 (2):145 – 166.
- Hostikka, S., Vaari, J., (2011), A. P. Water mist spray modelling with FDS. *Proceeding, Fire and Evacuation Modeling Technical Conference*.
- Hotelier, G. (2010). *Energy Efficiency in the Kitchen*. Retrieved on March 10, 2014, from [www.cleanairaust.com.au/services/kitchen-exhaust-cleaning/](http://www.cleanairaust.com.au/services/kitchen-exhaust-cleaning/)
- Huelgas, A., Nakajima, M., Nagata, H., & Funamizu, N. (2009). Comparison between treatment of kitchen-sink wastewater and a mixture of kitchen-sink and washing-machine wastewaters. *Environmental Technology*. 30(1): 111–7.
- Husted, B. P., Petersson, P., Lund, I., & Holmstedt, G. (2009). Comparison of PIV and PDA droplet velocity measurement techniques on two high-pressure water mist nozzles. *Fire Safety Journal*, 44(8), 1030–1045.
- Igoe, R. S. (2011). *Dictionary of Food Ingredients*. Boston, MA: Springer US. Retrieved on April 16, 2015. From <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9713-5>
- Jakobs, T., Djordjevic, N., Fleck, S., Zarzalis, N., Kolb, T. (2012). Influence of Ambient Pressure on Twin Fluid Atomization R & D work for high pressure entrained flow gasification. *ICLASS 2012, 12th Triennial International Conference on Liquid Atomization and Spray Systems*. Heidelberg, Germany 2012: 1–8.

- Jasikova, D., Kotek, M., & Kopecky, V. (2013). The Investigation of the Industrial Spray Systems Using Interferometry Particle Imaging Method. *American Journal of Mechanical Engineering*. 1(7):384–389.
- Jedelsky, J., Otahal, J., Jicha, M. (2007). Effervescent atomizer : influence of the internal geometry on atomization performance. *21<sup>th</sup> ILASS-Europe Meeting*. pp. 1–6.
- Jedelsky, J., Jan Otahal, M. J. (2008). Effervescent Atomizer for Atomization of Suspensions Containing Large Particles. *21<sup>th</sup> ILASS 2008*: (Paper ID ILASS08-P019). 2008: 8–10.
- Jennifer E. Snart. Oranges (2006): Safe Methods to Store, Preserve, and Enjoy. *University Of California: Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources*.
- Jiang, X., Siamas, G. A., Jagus, K., Karayiannis, T. G. (2010). Physical modelling and advanced simulations of gas–liquid two-phase jet flows in atomization and sprays. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 36(2), 131–167.
- John A. Clark. (2009). Kitchen Ventilation Problems. *ASHRAE JOURNAL*. July: 20–25.
- Jones, A., Nolan, P. F. (1995). Discussions on the use of fine water sprays or mists for fire suppression. *J. Loss Prev. Process Ind.* 8(1), 17–22.
- Kakhia, T. (1985). *Organic acids chelating agents*. Retrieved March 20, 2017, from [www.//tarek.kakhia.org](http://tarek.kakhia.org).
- Kim, J. (2007). Spray cooling heat transfer : The state of the art. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*. 28 (2007):753–767.
- Kirimura, K., Honda, Y., Hattori, T. (2011). 3.13 - *Citric Acid*. *Comprehensive Biotechnology* (Second Edi, Vol. 1). Elsevier B.V.
- Kufferath, A. H, Wende, B. (1999). Influence of liquid flow conditions on spray characteristics of internal-mixing twin-fluid atomizers. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow (Elsevier)*. 20: 513–519.

- Kurt A. E., Mudawar, I. (1995). Correlation of Sauter mean diameter and critical heat flux for spray cooling of small surfaces. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*. 38(16): 2965–2996.
- Lacava, P. T., Bastos-Netto, D., Pimenta, A. P (2004). Design Procedure and Experimental Evaluation of Pressure-Swirl Atomizer. *24<sup>th</sup> International Congress of the Aeronautical Sciences*. 1–9.
- Lalзад, P. (2007). *An Overview of the Global Water Problems and Solutions*. Retrieved on April 22, 2016, from [http://www.goftaman.com/daten/en/articles/An Overview of the Global Water Problems and Solutions.pdf](http://www.goftaman.com/daten/en/articles/An%20Overview%20of%20the%20Global%20Water%20Problems%20and%20Solutions.pdf)
- Lavante, E. V., Maatje, U., Albina, F. O. (2002). *Investigation of Unsteady Effects in Pressure Swirl Atomizers*. Retrieved on May 4, 2016, from <http://www.ilasseurope.org/ICLASS/ilass2002/papers/081.pdf>
- LECHLER. (2014). Product: *Flat fan nozzles-Catalogue*. Retrieved on March 18, 2014, from <http://www.lechler.de/Products/General-Industry/Flat-fan-nozzles/>
- LECHLER. (2014). Product: *Full cone nozzles*. Retrieved on March 18, 2014, from <http://www.lechler.de/Products/General-Industry/Full-cone-nozzles/>
- LECHLER. (2014). Product: *Hollow cone nozzles*. Retrieved on March 18, 2014, from <http://www.lechler.de/Products/General-Industry/Hollow-cone-nozzles/>
- LECHLER. (2014). Product: *Precision Spray Nozzles and Accessories*. Retrieved on March 18, 2014, from [http://www.lechler.de/is-bin/intershop.static/WFS/LechlerDE-Shop-Site/LechlerDE-Shop/en\\_US.pdf](http://www.lechler.de/is-bin/intershop.static/WFS/LechlerDE-Shop-Site/LechlerDE-Shop/en_US.pdf)
- Lefebvre, A. H. (1989). *Atomization and sprays*. New York: Hemisphere Pub. Corp.
- Li, Z., Wu, Y., Yang, H., Cai, C., Zhang, H., Hashiguchi. (2013). Effect of liquid viscosity on atomization in an internal-mixing twin-fluid atomizer. *Journal of Fuel- Elsevier*. 103(2013):486–494.
- Liu, Z., Kim, A. K. (2001). A Review of water mist fire suppression technology : Part II - Application studies. *Journal of Fire Protection Engineering*. 11(1):16–42.



- Livchak, A., Schrock, D., & Sun, Z. (2005). The Effect of Supply Air Systems on Kitchen Thermal Environment. *ASHRAE Journal*. (1):111.
- Livchak, A., Schrock, D., Lehtimäki, M. (2003). A. The facts mechanical grease. *ASHRAE Journal*. 14-17.
- Lukas, D. (2012). *Measurement of Spray Characteristics using Optical Measurement Methods*. Thesis Master's. Institute of Process and Environmental Engineering.
- Madsen, J. (2006). *Computational and Experimental Study of Sprays from the Breakup of Water Sheets*. Ph.D. thesis. Institute of Technology Aalborg University Esbjerg. Denmark.
- Maly, M. (2014). *Quality of Fuel Atomization from Small Pressure-Swirl Atomizers*. Bachelor's Thesis. BRNO University of Technology.
- Manjunatha, S. S., Raju, P. S. (2012). Thermophysical properties of enzyme clarified Lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* L) juice at different moisture contents. *Association of Food Scientists & Technologists (India) - Springer*.
- Maximilian J. (2014). Simulation of combusting spray generated by swirl atomizer. *ILASS – Europe 2014, 26th Annual Conference on Liquid Atomization and Spray Systems- Bremen, Germany*.
- Miller, J., Banneck, D., Taecker, J. (2000). *Design, Calculations, and Operational Guidelines*. California Conference of Directors of Environmental Health.
- Mónica, F. R., Díaz, P. F., Sánchez, D. L. (2010). Acid Limes: A Review. *Global Science Books*.116–122.
- Moon, S., Abo-serie, E., Bae, C. (2010). Liquid film thickness inside the high pressure swirl injectors: Real scale measurement and evaluation of analytical equations. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*. 34(2):113–121.
- Moor, A. D., Langenakens, J. and Vereecke, E. (2000). Image analysis of water sensitive paper as a tool for the evaluation of spray distribution of orchard sprayers. *Aspects of Applied Biology*. 57: 329-341.

- Moore, C. E. (2003). *Design, Development, and Analysis of a Twin-Fluid Fire Suppression Atomizer and Characterization of Electrostatically charged Droplet Sprays*. Master thesis. University of Southern Mississippi.
- Muchnick, D. M. (2002). Citric Acid & Pollution Prevention in Passivation & Electro polishing Control. Research Report. Control Electropolishing Corp. Brooklyn, New York.
- Nam, S., Han, S., Kang, J., Choi, H. (2003). Kinetics and mechanisms of the sonolytic destruction of non-volatile organic compounds: investigation of the sonochemical reaction zone using several OH monitoring techniques. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*. 10 (2003):139–147.
- Nonnenmacher, S., Piesche, M. (2000). Design of hollow cone pressure swirl nozzles to atomize Newtonian fluids. *Chemical Engineering Science*. 55: 4339–4348.
- Norzelawati Asmuin. (2011). *Investigation into novel match valved actuator atomiser insert design for compressed gas aerosols*. Ph.D. thesis. University of Salford.
- Nour, V., Trandafir, I., Ionica, M. E. (2010). HPLC Organic Acid Analysis in Different Citrus Juices under Reversed Phase Conditions. *Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobot. Cluj* . 38 (1) 2010:44-48.
- Oliveira, M. L. N., Malagoni, R. A., Franco, M. R. (2013). Solubility of citric acid in water, ethanol, n-propanol and in mixtures of ethanol and water. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*. 352: 110–113.
- Pang, S. W., Wong, A. (2002). Challenges on the control of cooking fume emissions from restaurants. *Better Air Quality in Asian and Pacific Rim Cities*. 43:1–7.
- Penniston, K. L. (2008). Quantitative assessment of citric acid in lemon juice, lime juice, and commercially-available fruit juice products. *Journal of Endourology*. 22(3):567–570.
- Pilch, M., Erdman, C. A. (1987). Use of breakup time data and velocity history data to predict the maximum size of stable fragments for acceleration-induced breakup of a liquid drop. *International journal Multiphase Flow*. 13(6): 741–757.



- PNR UK LTD (2015). *Air Assisted Atomizers*. Retrieved on April 13, 2016, from [http://www.pnr.co.uk/media/2015/10/PNR\\_Air\\_Atomizers.pdf](http://www.pnr.co.uk/media/2015/10/PNR_Air_Atomizers.pdf)
- Poerwono, H., (2001). *Citric acid: Analytical Profiles of Drug Substances and Excipients*. Elsevier Inc. pp 1-76; 2001.
- Qiu, T., Song, X., Lei, Y., Dai, H., Cao, C., Xu, H., & Feng, X. (2016). Effect of back pressure on nozzle inner flow in fuel injector. *FUEL*. 2016. 173:79–89.
- Rafia A. (2014). Water Pollution: Challenges and Future Direction for Water Resource Management Policies in Malaysia. *Environment and Urbanization ASIA*. 5 (1), 63–81.
- Reinking, L. (2007). *ImageJ Basics*. Retrieved on May 15, 2016, from <http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/docs/pdfs/imageJ.pdf>
- Ren, N. (2010). *Advances in Charaterizing Fire Sprinkler Sprays*. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Maryland, College Park.
- Richter, C. P., Stamminger, R. (2012). Water Consumption in the Kitchen – A Case Study in Four European Countries. *Water Resources Management*. 26(6): 1639–1649.
- Robert Franta. (2009). *Spraying systems Co.: Devising an Effective and Affordable Dust Control Strategy Using Water Sprays*. Retrieved on June 13, 2015, from [www.plantservices.com/assets/Media/1009/WP\\_DustControl.pdf](http://www.plantservices.com/assets/Media/1009/WP_DustControl.pdf)
- Rosegrant, M. W., Cai, X., Cline, S. A. (2002). *Global Water outlook to 2025*. Retrieved on June 16, 2015, from <http://lib.icimod.org/record/11130/files/4427.pdf>
- Roy, M. N., Chanda, R., Das, R. K., Ekka, D. (2011). Densities and Viscosities of Citric Acid in Aqueous Cetrimonium Bromide Solutions with Reference to the Manifestation of Solvation. *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data*. 56(8), 3285–3290.
- Saha, D., Hait, M., Patanwar, M., Tamrakar, A. (2011). Studies on Surface Tension of selected juice Formulation by Drop Number Using Traube's Stalagmometer

Technique. *Bulletin of Pharmaceutical Research*. 1(3): 1–3.

Santangelo, P. E. (2010). Characterization of high-pressure water-mist sprays: Experimental analysis of droplet size and dispersion. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*. 34(8):1353–1366.

Schick, R. J. (1997). *An Engineer's Practical Guide to Drop Size*. Retrieved on March 3, 2015, from [https://www.spryanalysis.com/pdf/compendium\\_paper/General\\_03Schick\\_CPI\\_E\\_1997.pdf](https://www.spryanalysis.com/pdf/compendium_paper/General_03Schick_CPI_E_1997.pdf).

Schick, R. J. (2014). *Spray Technology reference guide: understanding drop size*. Retrieved on March 3, 2015, from [https://www.spray.com/literature\\_pdfs/B459C\\_Understanding\\_Drop\\_size.pdf](https://www.spray.com/literature_pdfs/B459C_Understanding_Drop_size.pdf)

See, S., Balasubramanian, R. (2006). Physical Characteristics of Ultrafine Particles Emitted from Different Gas Cooking Methods. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*. 6(1): 82–92.

Shevchenko, N. (2012). *Problem of Grease and its Solutions in Commercial Kitchens*. Bachelor's thesis. Mikkelin University.

Soccol, C. R., Vandenberghe, L. P. S., Rodrigues, C. (2006). New Perspectives for Citric Acid Production and Application. *Citric Acid Production, Food Technol. Biotechnol*. 44(2):141–149.

Spraying Systems Co. (2006). *Spray Performance Considerations*. Retrieved on July 17, 2015, from [https://www.spray.com/v1/cat70mpdf\\_m/ssco\\_cat70m\\_a07.pdf](https://www.spray.com/v1/cat70mpdf_m/ssco_cat70m_a07.pdf)

Suresh Lal, A. K. (2010). Experimental study of an air assisted mist generator. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*. 34(8): 1029–1035.

Technical Products and Services. (2015). *Full Cone Spray Nozzles*. Retrieved on September 15, 2015, from <http://www.fluidproducts.com/fullcone.htm>

Thompson, J. C., Rothstein, J. P. (2007). The atomization of viscoelastic fluids in flat-fan and hollow-cone spray nozzles. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*.

147:11–22.

Vandenberghe, L. P. S., Soccol, C. R., Pandey, A. (1999). Microbial Production of Citric Acid. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*. 42:3.

Vanschenkhof, M. E., Barrett, E. B. (2000). *An Investigation of Water Usage in Casual Dining Restaurant in Kansa. Kansas State University*. Retrieved on May15, 2015, from <http://krex.k-state.edu/dspace/handle/2097/13114>

Watanawanyoo, P., Hirahara, H., Mochida, H., Furukawa, T., Nakamura, M.(2011). Experimental Investigations on Spray Characteristics in Twin-Fluid Atomizer. *Procedia Engineering*. 24(2011): 866–872.

Xie, J. L., Gan, Z. W., Duan, F., Wong, T. N., Yu, S. C. M. (2013). Characterization of spray atomization and heat transfer of pressure swirl nozzles. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*. 68: 94 - 102.

Yao, J. F., Tanaka, K., Kawahara, A., Sadatomi, M. (2015). Design Procedure and Performance Evaluation of a Flat-Jet Twin-Fluid Atomizer by Siphoning Liquid, *IACSIT International Journal of Engineering and Technology*. Vol. 7, No. 5.

Yao, S., Zhang, J., Fang, T. (2012). Effect of viscosities on structure and instability of sprays from a swirl atomizer. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*. 39:158–166.

Yinshui, L., Zhuo, J., Dan, W., Xiaohui, L. (2014) Experimental research on the water mist fire suppression performance in an enclosed space by changing the characteristics of nozzles. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*. 52 (2014): 174–181.

Yule, A. J. (2015). The Characterisation of the Spray from a New Fine Spray Spill Return Swirl Atomiser. *Proceedings of the 21th ILASS - Europe Meeting 2007*. 1-6.

Zaremba, M., Mlkvik, M., Malý, M., Jedelský, J. (2014). Quantitative and Qualitative Comparison of Internally Mixed Twin-Fluid Atomizers. *Annual Conference on Liquid Atomization and Spray Systems*.

Zhang, Q., Gangupomu, R. H., Ramirez, D., Zhu, Y. (2010). Measurement of Ultrafine Particles and Other Air Pollutants Emitted by Cooking Activities. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*. 2010. 7:1744-1759.

Ziane, R. (2007). *Halton Design Guide For indoor air climate in commercial kitchen* (Vol. 44). Lahti Finland: Third Edition: 2007 ©Halton Foodservice. Retrieved on November 30, 2016, from [www.haltonmarine.com](http://www.haltonmarine.com)

